Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/07/03 : CIA-RDP74B00415R000600120008-1

SECRET

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel Tuesday - 13 June 1972 Page 2

	25X
Armed Services Committee, and gave him notes for the Chairman's use for	
possible floor argument on the effects of Section 515 of the Foreign Assistance	
bill (S. 3390) as proposed by the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. After	
scanning through the notes Braswell said he had thought that we would be	
providing the numbers of forces involved on an unclassified basis so that the	
Chairman could make a complete presentation. After talking with Messrs.	
Maury and I told Mr. Braswell that the detailed figures on the forces	25 X
in Laos are still considered classified to which he responded that what he	
really meant was that we should keep in mind that as long as the figures remain	
classified the war in Laos continues to be a secret CIA war. As far as protection	
of the information is concerned should the Senator use the figures in a secret	
session of the Senate on a classified basis, we could all be sure they would	
become public knowledge shortly after the chamber doors were to open. He told	
me that this question of the unclassified use of the number of forces involved	
is relatively not very important because he does not know at this time whether	
Senator Stennis will make any attempt concerning Section 515. Senator Stennis	
was successful in the Committee jurisdiction question and may not care to go to ba	t
on this item.	
6. In discussing the question of the amendments	25 X
to the Foreign Relations Authorization bill, Tom Korologos, of the White House,	
to the <u>Foreign Relations Authorization bill</u> , <u>Tom Korologos</u> , of the White House, repeated his earlier request that we 'not do anything' without checking with him.	
repeated his earlier request that we ''not do anything'' without checking with him.	
repeated his earlier request that we "not do anything" without checking with him. He complained that certain agencies were trying to get special exemptions for themselves, whereas the Administration policy was to attack the entire body of	
repeated his earlier request that we "not do anything" without checking with him. He complained that certain agencies were trying to get special exemptions for themselves, whereas the Administration policy was to attack the entire body of amendments across-the-board rather than piecemeal. I emphasized to him our	25 X
repeated his earlier request that we "not do anything" without checking with him. He complained that certain agencies were trying to get special exemptions for themselves, whereas the Administration policy was to attack the entire body of amendments across-the-board rather than piecemeal. I emphasized to him our	25X
repeated his earlier request that we "not do anything" without checking with him. He complained that certain agencies were trying to get special exemptions for themselves, whereas the Administration policy was to attack the entire body of amendments across-the-board rather than piecemeal. I emphasized to him our concern about the restrictions that would apply to He said	25X
repeated his earlier request that we "not do anything" without checking with him. He complained that certain agencies were trying to get special exemptions for themselves, whereas the Administration policy was to attack the entire body of amendments across-the-board rather than piecemeal. I emphasized to him our concern about the restrictions that would apply to He said they understood this and I said we were planning to provide some background material for possible use by Stennis.	
repeated his earlier request that we "not do anything" without checking with him. He complained that certain agencies were trying to get special exemptions for themselves, whereas the Administration policy was to attack the entire body of amendments across-the-board rather than piecemeal. I emphasized to him our concern about the restrictions that would apply to He said they understood this and I said we were planning to provide some background material for possible use by Stennis. Called Miss Lynn Braydrick, in the office	25X 25X1
repeated his earlier request that we "not do anything" without checking with him. He complained that certain agencies were trying to get special exemptions for themselves, whereas the Administration policy was to attack the entire body of amendments across-the-board rather than piecemeal. I emphasized to him our concern about the restrictions that would apply to He said they understood this and I said we were planning to provide some background material for possible use by Stennis. 7. Called Miss Lynn Braydrick, in the office of Representative Charles B. Rangel (D., N.Y.), in response to her query as to	
repeated his earlier request that we "not do anything" without checking with him. He complained that certain agencies were trying to get special exemptions for themselves, whereas the Administration policy was to attack the entire body of amendments across-the-board rather than piecemeal. I emphasized to him our concern about the restrictions that would apply to He said they understood this and I said we were planning to provide some background material for possible use by Stennis. 7. Called Miss Lynn Braydrick, in the office of Representative Charles B. Rangel (D., N.Y.), in response to her query as to the status of our reply to the Congressman's letter to the Director of 6 June.	
repeated his earlier request that we "not do anything" without checking with him. He complained that certain agencies were trying to get special exemptions for themselves, whereas the Administration policy was to attack the entire body of amendments across-the-board rather than piecemeal. I emphasized to him our concern about the restrictions that would apply to He said they understood this and I said we were planning to provide some background material for possible use by Stennis. 7. Called Miss Lynn Braydrick, in the office of Representative Charles B. Rangel (D., N.Y.), in response to her query as to the status of our reply to the Congressman's letter to the Director of 6 June. I said a letter was en route to the Congressman which he should receive tomorrow	
repeated his earlier request that we "not do anything" without checking with him. He complained that certain agencies were trying to get special exemptions for themselves, whereas the Administration policy was to attack the entire body of amendments across-the-board rather than piecemeal. I emphasized to him our concern about the restrictions that would apply to He said they understood this and I said we were planning to provide some background material for possible use by Stennis. 7. Called Miss Lynn Braydrick, in the office of Representative Charles B. Rangel (D., N.Y.), in response to her query as to the status of our reply to the Congressman's letter to the Director of 6 June. I said a letter was en route to the Congressman which he should receive tomorrow morning and which would answer his questions about the basis for our failure to	
repeated his earlier request that we "not do anything" without checking with him. He complained that certain agencies were trying to get special exemptions for themselves, whereas the Administration policy was to attack the entire body of amendments across-the-board rather than piecemeal. I emphasized to him our concern about the restrictions that would apply to He said they understood this and I said we were planning to provide some background material for possible use by Stennis. 7. Called Miss Lynn Braydrick, in the office of Representative Charles B. Rangel (D., N.Y.), in response to her query as to the status of our reply to the Congressman's letter to the Director of 6 June. I said a letter was en route to the Congressman which he should receive tomorrow morning and which would answer his questions about the basis for our failure to provide him with the reports he requested. I added that we were reviewing the	
repeated his earlier request that we "not do anything" without checking with him. He complained that certain agencies were trying to get special exemptions for themselves, whereas the Administration policy was to attack the entire body of amendments across-the-board rather than piecemeal. I emphasized to him our concern about the restrictions that would apply to He said they understood this and I said we were planning to provide some background material for possible use by Stennis. 7. Called Miss Lynn Braydrick, in the office of Representative Charles B. Rangel (D., N.Y.), in response to her query as to the status of our reply to the Congressman's letter to the Director of 6 June. I said a letter was en route to the Congressman which he should receive tomorrow morning and which would answer his questions about the basis for our failure to provide him with the reports he requested. I added that we were reviewing the classification of all these reports and were optimistic that at least some of them	
repeated his earlier request that we "not do anything" without checking with him. He complained that certain agencies were trying to get special exemptions for themselves, whereas the Administration policy was to attack the entire body of amendments across-the-board rather than piecemeal. I emphasized to him our concern about the restrictions that would apply to He said they understood this and I said we were planning to provide some background material for possible use by Stennis. 7. Called Miss Lynn Braydrick, in the office of Representative Charles B. Rangel (D., N.Y.), in response to her query as to the status of our reply to the Congressman's letter to the Director of 6 June. I said a letter was en route to the Congressman which he should receive tomorrow morning and which would answer his questions about the basis for our failure to provide him with the reports he requested. I added that we were reviewing the	

SECRET

CROUP 1 Excluded fram automatic downgrading and declassification

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Monday - 12 June 1972

Talked to Clark MacGregor, White House	25X1
staff, at a social function Saturday and told him of our particular concern over	
especially as they applied to He said the White House was trying to get Chairman Stennis, Senate Armed Services Committee, to	25 X 1
oppose all of the amendments in question, but he encouraged us to deal directly with Stennis so far as	25X1
Called Jack Ticer, Chief Clerk, Senate Armed Services Committee, to ask the status of the Senate debate of the amendments to the Foreign Relations Authorization Act. He said the bill was scheduled to be discussed all this week and into next week. He had no information as to just when the amendments of greatest interest to us would come up.	₁ 25X1
3. Robert Blum, Senate Foreign Relations	25X1
Committee staff, called and I made a date for him to meet at Headquarters with and me at 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, 14 June 1972 to discuss certain OSS files Mr. Blum is interested in.	25X1
4. Met with Miss Jean Sparkes, Special Assistant to Representative Lawrence J. Hogan (R., Md.), and reviewed with her the Representative's letter of 2 June to General Walters concerning a	25X1 25X1
constituent inquiry from concerning retirement of her mother from the Agency at age 60. I told Mine See also	25X1
that has not yet filed for the one year extension mentioned in her	25X1
daughter's letter. We will expedite determination as soon as it is filed. Miss Sparkes will follow Representative Hogan's office routine and place the	
correspondence item on a 30-day suspense. have been advised.	25X1
nave been advised.	
At the request of Mr. Bruce Merkle, Administrative Assistant to Representative William Bray (R., Ind.), made	25X1
an appointment for a personnel interview for	25X1
for 2:00 p.m. today.	-25 X 1

CONFIDENTIAL

GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic configurating and and initioalign

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 3 Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel Monday - 12 June 1972 25X1 12. Told Bill Woodruff, Counsel, Senate Appropriations Committee, we were providing Ed Braswell, Chief Counsel, Senate Armed Services Committee, with some backup material which he had requested for Senator Stennis' use in attempting to strike section 515 of the Foreign Aid Authorization bill (S. 3390) which precludes the use of third country forces in Southeast Asia. We also discussed the issue which Senator Stennis is expected to fight over returning MASF funds to the Foreign Aid bill. Woodruff said he thought Senator Stennis would be successful in this again this year but added from his (Woodruff's) point of view it would be much better if they could get MASF funds out of the Defense Appropriations bill and into the Foreign Aid Appropriations bill. While this could be done even though the authorization remains in the Armed Services Committee, it is doubtful that this will ever happen. 25X1 13. Following the LIG meeting in the White House I talked to Tom Korologos and John Lehman, explaining our concern about the Foreign Relations Authorization amendments which would cut off I told Korologos Braswell had asked us for 25X1 more specific material which could be surfaced on this issue in a floor debate. I said we were prepared to provide such materials if it was really necessary 25X1 despite the embarrassment it might cause in our relationship 25X1 Korologos questioned the wisdom of releasing more information 25X1 and added that in any event the Administration strategy was to get all of the amendments knocked out together rather than approaching the problem piecemeal. He complained that some agencies had already tried to get provisions specifically affecting them deleted and we should do nothing without coordination with the White House. He said they were trying to get Stennis to carry the ball and I said I would take no further action without checking with them but urged they give the matter priority attention. I said if they were not successful, we'd go ahead anyway with our own contacts. 25X1 J JOHN M. MAURY Legislative Counsel 25X1 cc: O/DDCI Mr. Houston Mr. Thuermer 25X1 DDI DDS DDS&T EA/DDP OPPB 6803P 1 Excluded from automatic Item 4 -25X1 downgrading and Item 9 declassification CONFIDENTIAL

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/07/03: CIA-RDP74B00415R000600120008-1

STAT

Item 5 - OP